## Additivity of Substituent Effects upon Proton-Fluorine Coupling Constants in Polysubstituted Fluorobenzenes
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Substituent effects upon $J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ values in polysubstituted fluorobenzenes are demonstrated to be additive for the substituents $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$, and OMe . An evaluation of the substituent constants by a regression analysis allows the accurate calculation of $230 J_{\text {FII }}$ values in 70 compounds. The consequences of this analysis for the resolution of the ambiguities in the assignment of ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ and ${ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ values, and of the sign of ${ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ in many reported $J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ values are discussed. The variation of the substituent constants with substituent electronegativity, $E_{\mathrm{X}}$, are considered and an assessment of the literature reports of the correlation of $J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ with $E_{\mathrm{X}}$ is made.
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substituent effects in polysubstituted benzenes is well established ${ }^{1-6}$ and has been used for a number of years
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in structural determinations. The additivity of substituent effects upon fluorine-fluorine coupling constants has been established in a wide variety of polysubstituted benzenes, ${ }^{7}$ even though there is a considerable overlap of the magnitudes of the meta- and para-couplings.

Although a large amount of data exists for protonfluorine coupling constants, $J_{\mathrm{FH}}$, an additivity relation ship has not yet been established for highly substituted benzenes; only one paper ${ }^{8}$ deals, in any detail, with the empirical calculation of these $J$ values in di- and tri-substituted benzenes by the use of such a scheme. More substituent constants (and hence more $J$ values) are needed in order to describe the effects of substituents upon heteronuclear than upon homonuclear $J$ values; thus, in the homonuclear case, six constants are required for each substituent (two for ortho-, three for meta-, and one for para-), while, in the heteronuclear case, ten constants are required for each substituent
to the orientation of the coupled nuclei and the second refers to the orientation of the substituent and the
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Table 1
Substituent constants, ${ }^{a} \Delta J_{\mathrm{FH}}^{\mathrm{X}}$ and unperturbed couplings, ${ }^{n} J_{\mathrm{FH}}^{\mathrm{H}}$ Benzene

${ }^{a}$ The number of times each parameter appeared in the analysis is in parentheses. ${ }^{b}$ Substituent electronegativity. ${ }^{2}{ }^{c}$ Correlation coefficient for the correlation of the substituent constants with $E_{\mathbf{X}}$. In each case only constants determined from more than one measurement were used.
(four for ortho-, four for meta-; and two for para-J). This fact, together with the distribution of such $J$ values in a large number of references, accounts for the sparsity in the number of attempts to establish such a relationship.

A recent collection of fluorine-coupling constant data ${ }^{9}$ enables us to interpret much of the existing data in terms of a simple additivity scheme. This scheme allows us to predict the sign of ${ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ in cases where this was not determined and to assign $J_{\text {PH }}$ values to specific nuclei, where ambiguities, in the literature, existed for polysubstituted compounds.

Determination of Substituent Constants.-In order to interpret the large number of experimental $J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ values it is necessary to define a substituent constant as the change that occurs in the value of the $J_{\text {FH }}$ upon substitution of a proton by a substituent X (i.e. $\Delta J_{\mathrm{FH}}^{\mathrm{X}}=$ $J^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{FH}^{\text {Benzene }}-J_{F}^{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{\text {Benzenee }}$ ). Thus the substituent constants shown in the Scheme are necessary for any substituent, where the first letter of the constant refers

[^0]as the proton). The calculated coupling, ${ }^{n} J_{\mathrm{FH}}^{\text {Canc }}$ is then given by equation (1).
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{n} J_{F H}^{\text {Calc }}={ }^{n} J_{F H}^{\mathrm{H} \text { Benzene }}+\sum_{i=1}^{4} \Delta J_{\mathrm{FH}}^{\mathrm{X}} \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Previously a limited number of these substituent constants were determined by the use of the experimental $J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ values in fluorobenzene and the appropriate monosubstituted fluorobenzene. ${ }^{8}$ This procedure, however, does not allow substituent constants to be determined for substituents in which data for the appropriate monosubstituted fluorobenzenes are unavailable; it also places undue emphasis on the fluorobenzene data.

In the present treatment these shortcomings are overcome by assuming additivity and also by treating the values for the 'unperturbed' molecule ( ${ }^{n} J_{F H}^{H}$ Benzene $)$ as unknowns. The test of this assumption is the correspondence of the experimental and calculated $J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ values. Thus we are able to write down a set of $m$ linear equations with $y$ unknowns where $m$ is the number of experimental $J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ values available and $y$ is the number of substituent
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Table 2
Experimental and calculated $J_{\mathrm{FH}} / \mathrm{Hz}$ in polysubstituted
$\left.\begin{array}{ccrr} & \text { fluorobenzenes } & \\ & & & \\ & & \text { Exp } & \text { Calc } \\ & & \text { Ref. } & J \mathrm{FH}\end{array}\right)$

Table 2 (Continued)

|  |  | Exp | Calc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | Ref. | $J_{\text {FH }}$ | $J_{\text {FH }}$ |
| 22 | $k$ | -2.20 | -2.40 |
|  |  | 8.60 | 8.93 |
| 23 | $m$ | 6.40 | 6.45 |
|  |  | $-2.70$ | -2.87 |
|  |  | 7.40 | 7.45 |
|  |  | 10.00 | 9.73 |
| 24 | $m$ | - 2.70 | -2.78 |
|  |  | 6.80 | 6.44 |
|  |  | 10.70 | 10.17 |
|  |  | 9.30 | 8.83 |
| 25 | $j$ | 9.10 | 8.80 |
|  |  | 4.97 | 5.03 |
|  |  | $-0.52$ | -0.66 |
|  |  | 7.10 | 6.90 |
| 26 | $m$ | 6.20 | 5.85 |
|  |  | $-2.70$ | -2.86 |
|  |  | 7.90 | 7.59 |
|  |  | 10.00 | 9.45 |
| 27 | $m$ | -2.50 | $-2.66$ |
|  |  | 6.30 | 6.29 |
|  |  | 10.30 | 10.29 |
|  |  | 8.40 | 8.33 |
| 28 | $j$ | 6.29 | 6.17 |
|  |  | -0.24 | $-0.53$ |
|  |  | 4.99 | 5.11 |
|  |  | 7.97 | 8.08 |
| 29 | $j$ | 8.14 | 8.28 |
|  |  | -0.27 | $-0.51$ |
|  |  | 5.85 | 5.88 |
|  |  | 8.42 | 8.68 |
| 30 | $j$ | 8.39 | 8.38 |
|  |  | 5.10 | 5.10 |
| 31 | $e$ | $-1.30$ | -1.10 |
|  |  | 6.35 | 6.23 |
|  |  | 7.40 | 7.82 |
| 32 | $n$ | 9.30 | 9.04 |
|  |  | -1.22 | $-1.08$ |
|  |  | 8.00 | 8.02 |
| 33 | $m$ | 9.20 | 9.17 |
|  |  | 7.70 | 7.80 |
|  |  | --2.70 | -2.81 |
|  |  | 5.20 | 5.12 |
| 34 | $m$ | 10.10 | 10.20 |
|  |  | 6.00 | 6.03 |
|  |  | $-2.50$ | $-2.53$ |
|  |  | 7.80 | 7.61 |
| 35 | $j$ | 7.46 | 7.41 |
|  |  | -0.86 | -0.94 |
|  |  | 4.61 | 4.67 |
|  |  | 11.40 | 11.11 |
| 36 | $j$ | 8.82 | 8.65 |
|  |  | --0.53 | -0.53 |
|  |  | 5.60 | 5.69 |
|  |  | 8.28 | 7.97 |
| 37 | $j$ | 8.13 | 8.06 |
|  |  | 4.71 | 4.58 |
| 38 | $k$ | 6.49 | 6.46 |
|  |  | 3.72 | 3.73 |
|  |  | 10.19 | 10.35 |
| 39 | $j$ | 10.56 | 10.71 |
|  |  | 7.62 | 7.59 |
| 40 | $j$ | 5.80 | 5.98 |
|  |  | 3.49 | 3.24 |
|  |  | 10.59 | 10.55 |
|  |  | 7.91 | 8.08 |
|  |  | 7.48 | 7.41 |
|  |  | 4.34 | 4.25 |
| 41 | j | 8.68 | 8.42 |
|  |  | 5.73 | 5.70 |
|  |  | 7.70 | 7.80 |
|  |  | 11.26 | 11.47 |
|  |  | 8.64 | 8.53 |
|  |  | $-1.58$ | $-1.50$ |
| 42 | $j$ | 6.36 | 6.56 |
|  |  | 4.11 | 4.07 |
|  |  | 8.43 | 8.53 |

Table 2 (Continued)

| Compound | Ref. | $\operatorname{Exp}_{V}$ | ${ }_{\text {Calc }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | $k$ | 8.70 | 9.08 |
|  |  | 6.80 | 6.98 |
| 44 | $n$ | 7.18 | 7.24 |
|  |  | 10.43 | 10.37 |
| 45 | $n$ | 7.10 | 7.09 |
|  |  | 10.22 | 10.09 |
| 46 | $o$ | 10.23 | 10.21 |
|  |  | 7.59 | 7.69 |
|  |  | 7.91 | 7.98 |
|  |  | 9.90 | 9.81 |
| 47 | $m$ | 6.60 | 6.84 |
|  |  | 8.00 | 7.84 |
|  |  | 9.80 | 9.72 |
| 48 | $m$ | 6.20 | 6.24 |
|  |  | 8.00 | 7.98 |
|  |  | 9.30 | 9.44 |
| 49 | $m$ | 5.50 | 5.51 |
|  |  | 9.10 | 9.16 |
|  |  | 8.20 | 8.19 |
| 50 | $m$ | 7.70 | 7.14 |
|  |  | -2.30 | -2.52 |
|  |  | 11.80 | 11.91 |
| 51 | $m$ | 6.20 | 6.55 |
|  |  | -2.60 | -2.52 |
|  |  | 11.60 | 11.63 |
| 52 | $m$ | -2.60 | -2.46 |
|  |  | 5.60 | 5.81 |
|  |  | 11.50 | 11.36 |
| 53 | $m$ | 6.80 | 6.83 |
|  |  | 10.00 | 10.16 |
|  |  | 8.80 | 8.82 |
| 54 | $m$ | 6.80 | 6.68 |
|  |  | 10.40 | 10.28 |
|  |  | 8.50 | 8.32 |
| 55 | $m$ | $-2.30$ | -2.42 |
|  |  | 7.70 | 7.75 |
|  |  | 11.80 | 11.90 |
| 56 | $m$ | -2.40 | -2.31 |
|  |  | 7.40 | 7.61 |
|  |  | 11.20 | 11.41 |
| 57 | $m$ | 7.60 | 7.34 |
|  |  | -2.20 | -2.17 |
|  |  | 11.00 | 10.69 |
| 58 | $p$ | 7.80 | 7.80 |
|  |  | 4.80 | 4.80 |
| 59 | $m$ | 6.20 | 6.15 |
|  |  | 8.00 | 7.89 |
|  |  | 9.70 | 9.66 |
| 60 | $m$ | 6.20 | 6.21 |
|  |  | -2.70 | -2.68 |
|  |  | 10.20 | 10.33 |
| 61 | $m$ | 6.60 | 6.59 |
|  |  | 10.50 | 10.50 |
|  |  | 8.50 | 8.55 |
| 62 | $m$ | -2.30 | -2.34 |
|  |  | 6.90 | 6.84 |
|  |  | 10.30 | 10.28 |
| 63 | $m$ | 6.60 | 6.75 |
|  |  | 7.80 | 7.75 |
|  |  | 10.00 | 9.94 |
| 64 | $m$ | 6.80 | 6.81 |
|  |  | -2.60 | -2.69 |
|  |  | 10.60 | 10.61 |
| 65 | $m$ | 6.70 | 6.74 |
|  |  | 10.40 | 10.38 |
|  |  | 9.00 | 9.04 |
| 66 | $m$ | -2.40 | $-2.46$ |
|  |  | 7.00 | 6.99 |
|  |  | 10.70 | 10.77 |
| 67 | $m$ | 5.40 | 5.42 |
|  |  | 8.20 | 8.10 |
|  |  | 9.30 | 9.39 |
| 68 | $m$ | 5.50 | 5.48 |
|  |  | -2.70 | -2.63 |
|  |  | 10.20 | 10.06 |

Table 2 (Continued)

|  |  | Exp | Calc |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compound | Ref. | $J_{\mathrm{RF}}$ | $J_{\mathrm{RF}}$ |
| 69 | $n$ | 6.20 | 6.33 |
|  |  | 10.50 | 10.41 |
|  |  | 7.80 | 7.82 |
| 70 | $m$ | -2.30 | -2.21 |
|  |  | 6.50 | 6.57 |
|  |  | 9.60 | 9.55 |

Compounds: 1, fluorobenzene; 2, 1,2-difluorobenzene; 3, 1,3difluorobenzene; 4, 1,4-difluorobenzene; 5, 1,2,3-trifluorobenzene; 6; 1,2,4-trifluorobenzene; 7, 1,3,5-trifluorobenzene; 8, 1,2,3,4-tetrafluorobenzene; $9,1,2,3,5$-tetrafluorobenzene; 10 , pentafluorobenzene; 11, 1-chloro-2-fluorobenzene; 12, 1-chloro3 -fluorobenzene; 13, 1-chloro-4-fluorobenzene; 14, 1,2,4,5-tetra-chloro-3-fluorobenzene; 15, 1,2-dichloro-4-fluorobenzene; 16, 1,2,4-trichloro-3,6-difluorobenzene; 17,1-bromo-3-fluorobenzene; 18, 1-bromo-4-fluorobenzene; 19, 1,3-dibromo-4-fluorobenzene; 20, 1-bromo-2,5-difluorobenzene; 21, 1-bromo-2,4,6-trifluorobenzene; 22, I,3-dichloro-2,4,6-trifluorobenzene; 23, 1-chloro-2,3,4,5-tetrafluorobenzene; 24, l-chloro-2,3,4,6-tetrafluorobenzene; 25, 1-bromo-2-fluorobenzene; 26, 1-bromo-2,3,4,5tetrafluorobenzene; 27, 1-bromo-2,3,4,6-tetrafluorobenzene; 28, l-fluoro-2-iodobenzene; 29, 1-fluoro-3-iodobenzene; 30, I-fluoro-4-iodobenzene; 31, 1,3-difluoro-2-iodobenzene; 32, 1,3-difluoro-5-iodobenzene; 33, 1,2,3,4-tetrafluoro-5-iodobenzene; 34, 1,2,3,5-tetrafluoro-4-iodobenzene; 35, 1-fluoro-2-nitrobenzene; 36, 1-fluoro-3-nitrobenzene; 37, 1-fluoro-4-nitrobenzene; 38, 1-fluoro-2,4-dinitrobenzene; 39, 1,3-difluoro-4,6-dinitrobenzene; 40, 1,4-difluoro-2-nitrobenzene; 41, 1,3-difluoro-4-nitrobenzene; 42, 1-chloro-2-fluoro-4-nitrobenzene; 43, 1,2-dibromo-4,5-difluoro-3-nitrobenzene; 44, 1-chloro-5-fluoro-2,4-dinitrobenzene; 45, I-bromo-5-fluoro-2,4-dinitrobenzene; 46, l-bromo-2-chloro-4,5-difluorobenzene; 47, 1-chloro-3- $N N$-dimethylamino-2,4,5trifluorobenzene; 48, 1-bromo-3- $N N$-dimethylamino-2,4,5trifluorobenzene; $49,1-N N$-dimethylamino-2,5,6-trifluoro-3-iodobenzene; $\quad 50$, I-chloro-4-NN-dimethylamino-2,3,5-trifluorobenzene; 51, 1-bromo-4-NN-dimethylamino-2,3,5-trifluorobenzene; 52, 1-NN-dimethylamino-2,3,6-trifluoro-4-iodobenzene; 53 , 1-chloro-2-NN-dimethylamino-3,4,6-trifluorobenzene; 54, I-bromo-2-NN-dimethylamino-3,4,6-trifluorobenzene; $\quad 55$, 1 -chloro-4- $N N$-dimethylamino-2,3,6-trifluorobenzene; 56, l-bromo-4- $N N$-dimethylamino-2,3,6-trifluorobenzene; 57, 1-NN-dimethylamino-2,3,5-trifluoro-4-iodobenzene; 58, 1-fluoro-4-methoxybenzene; 59, 1-bromo-2,4,5-trifluoro-3methoxybenzene; 60, 1-bromo-2,3,5-trifluoro-4-methoxybenzene; 61, 1-bromo-3,4,6-trifluoro-2-methoxybenzene; 62, 1-bromo-2,3,6-trifluoro-4-methoxybenzene; 63, 1-chloro-2,4,5-trifluoro-3methoxybenzene; 64, l-chloro-2,3,5-trifluoro-4-methoxybenzene; 65, 1-chloro-3,4,6-trifluoro-2-methoxybenzene; 66, 1-chloro-2,3,6-trifluoro-4-methoxybenzene: 67, 2,4,5-trifluoro-1-iodo-3methoxybenzene; 68, 2,3,5-trifluoro-1-iodo-4-methoxybenzene; 69, 3,4,6-trifluoro-l-iodo-2-methoxybenzene; 70, 2,3,6-trifluoro-1-iodo-4-methoxybenzene.
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constants plus the three ' unperturbed ' $J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ values and solve for the best least-squares fit. ${ }^{10}$

Initially the ortho- and meta- $J_{\text {FH }}$ values which were ambiguous and those para- $J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ values whose sign had not been determined were left out. Then these $J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ values were assigned and included in the final analysis. Of the 73 compounds available for analysis, three gave calculated $J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ values that were not predicted by additivity. These compounds, 1,3 -difluoro-2,4,6-trinitrobenzene, ${ }^{11}$ 1 -NN-dimethylamino-3,5,6-trifluoro-2-iodobenzene, ${ }^{12}$ and 3,4,6-trifluoro-1-methoxy-2-nitrobenzene ${ }^{13}$ were excluded from the final computation.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The substituent constants of $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$, and OMe , calculated by the regression analysis, are given


Correlation of experimental and calculated $J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ values
in Table 1; the observed $J_{\text {FH }}$ values and those calculated with the aid of the substituent constants (Table 1) are compared in Table 2 and the Figure. A total of 230 $J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ values from 70 compounds have been analysed.
Inspection of Table 2 and the Figure indicates that the additivity scheme gives good agreement between the experimental and calculated $J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ values with a r.m.s. error of 0.19 Hz over a range of 14.5 Hz . The small r.m.s. deviation and random distribution of the points suggest that any systematic error arising from the additivity scheme is small and could well be accounted

[^1]for by experimental uncertainties. Solvent studies on fluorobenzene and some polysubstituted derivatives ${ }^{14}$ have shown that changes of up to 0.9 Hz can be expected in ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ with smaller changes in ${ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ and ${ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{FH}}$; reports on the same compound by different authors also show that similar variations can occur. ${ }^{9}$

Statistically, 5\% of the $J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ values would be expected to have errors greater than two standard deviations if the errors are random,,$^{15}$ and this is found to be the case here. One of these is ${ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ in fluorobenzene. Thus the use of this $J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ as a starting parameter for the derivation of substituent constants must lead to serious errors in all the calculated values of ${ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ as can be seen in the results of Loemker $e t a l .{ }^{8}$ for these ${ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ values. An error in the reported sign of this ${ }^{5}{ }^{\mathrm{FH}}$ is unlikely as it has been subjected to careful analyses. ${ }^{16-18}$ The non-addivity of the $J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ values in the three compounds noted above remain inexplicable.

A practical application of the analysis is that it allows the unambiguous assignment of ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ in compounds 27 , 34, 53-55, 61, 65, and 69, and of ${ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ in compounds $26,33,47-49,59,63$, and 67 . Similarly the ambiguity in the sign of ${ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ for compounds $14,21-24,26,27,33$, $34,50-52,60,62,64,66$, and 68 is resolved; it turns out to be negative. Even though the sign of the small ${ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ in fluorobenzene is incorrectly calculated, its value is shown to be the most positive of all the known ${ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ values.

There are several papers ${ }^{14,19-21}$ dealing with the correlation of $J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ and substituent electronegativity ( $E_{\mathrm{X}}$ ). As substituent effects are shown here to be additive these relationships should be evident as correlations between the substituent constants and $E_{\mathbf{x}}$. The results of these correlations (Table 1) indicate that only po and $m p$ correlate well with $E_{\mathrm{x}}$; if the errors in the substituent constants [estimated from (r.m.s.) $\sqrt{ }(n-1$ ) where $n$ is the number of times the parameter appeared in the analysis (Table 1)] are taken into account then poorer correlations are obtained for $m o, p m$, and $o p$. The correlations of $p o$ and $p m$, and hence ${ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ with $E_{\mathrm{X}}$ have been pointed out previously, ${ }^{19,21}$ while the correlation of $m p$ and hence ${ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{FH}}$, with a substituent para to F, and $E_{\mathrm{x}}$ was surmised by Loemker et al. ${ }^{19}$ from three results but is here clearly demonstrated. The non-linear correlations of the other substituent constants clearly indicates that the use ${ }^{19,21}$ of a limited number of substituents can lead to fortuitous linear correlations. Similarly the deviations from a linear correlation of ${ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ with ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ in para-substituted fluorobenzenes are accounted for by the irregular correlation of $o p$ with $m p$

[^2]and are not caused solely by solvent effects as suspected previously. ${ }^{14}$

In conclusion we have demonstrated the applicability of an additivity scheme for substituent effects upon $J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ in polysubstituted fluorobenzenes, which clarifies ambiguities regarding the assignment and sign of several $J_{\text {FH }}$ values, The correlations of the substituent constants
with $E_{\mathrm{X}}$ enables one to assess previous correlations of $J_{\mathrm{FH}}$ with $E_{\mathrm{X}}$.
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